APPROVAL OF MINUTES
November 2016 Online Board Meeting Minutes
(Please see: Attachment A – November 2016 Online Board Meeting Minutes)

PRESIDENT’S REPORT
None submitted

SECRETARY’S REPORT
Please see Attachment A

TREASURER’S REPORT
None submitted

COMMITTEE REPORTS
None submitted

REGIONAL DIRECTOR REPORTS
None submitted

AGENDA ITEMS:

OLD BUSINESS

Proposal #1: Proposed Changes to ROM ROMX program – REVISED PROPOSAL 12-01-16
Submitted by: Francine W. Kaplan

1. Indicate on the Website whether the dog is a Sire or Dam.
2. Add to program description:
   a. The ROM ROMX program was designed to recognize Sires and Dams whose offspring earned titles that require a certain level of training and titles in categories specifically demonstrating skills true to the purpose of the breed as a hunting dog.

Proposal Justification: Clarify purpose of the program and add sex of dog.

Administration: Webmaster posts the dogs sex when posting the names to the website. Records provides Webmaster with a new version of the existing list with the sex indicated.

Financial Implications: None.
Specific Board Action Requested: Approve listing the dogs sex on the ROM list on the website and approve adding language to the program description.

Proposal #2: Proposed Changes to ROM ROMX program – Obedience Points
Submitted by: Francine W. Kaplan

1. Revise the current titles and point schedule to include the new AKC titles in the following categories already recognized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGC, CGCA, CGCU</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDX</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VER (need all UD skills)*</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD, PUTD</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDX, PUDX</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC (the points are harder than OM but not as hard as OTCH)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGM (is 10 OM titles)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTCH</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*I’m not 100% sure where VER should go. You need all the UD skills so after GO before UD.

Proposal Justification: Recognize new Obedience Titles, including the CGC programs.

Administration: No additional work.

Financial Implications: None.

Specific Board Action Requested: Approve the above amended point schedule for ROM/ROMX.

NEW BUSINESS

Proposal #1: Update online applications for holding a Field Test, Supported Entry, and National Specialty.
Submitted by: Ann DiSilvestre

Proposal Description: The online applications for holding a Field Test, Supported Entry and National Specialty need to be updated with a line item and check mark stating that within 90
days after the conclusion of the event, the Event Chair will ensure that the Event Treasurer completes a reconciliation of all monies and submits a report to the Board of Directors and submits any funds to the Club Treasurer.

**Proposal Justification:** We have had problems in the past with timely accountings of Events. This requirement will hold the Event Chair accountable for the Event Treasurers reconciliation.

**Administration:** One time change to the online forms by the Webmaster.

**Financial Implications:** None

**Specific Board Action Requested:** Approve the one time change to the existing online forms for holding a Field Test, Supported Entry, and National Specialty.

**Proposal #2:** Create and post on the Club website an application to hold an Agility Trial.

**Submitted by:** Ann DiSilvestre

**Proposal Description:** We currently have online applications to hold Field Tests, Supported Entries and National Specialty. To be consistent, we need to add an application for an Agility Trial.

**Proposal Justification:** This will ensure that the Chair for the Agility Trial is held accountable to the same items as the Chairs for the other events. Also, this would keep the Historian notified of Agility Trials.

**Administration:** The Webmaster would create the online form with the assistance of the Events Chair.

**Financial Implications:** None.

**Specific Board Action Requested:** Approve the creation of the online application to hold an Agility Trial.

**Proposal #3:** Repair of Club Traveling HIT Trophy

**Submitted by:** Kim Simons, President

**Proposal Description:** The Club HIT trophy has had some damage, and the plaques with names are full. I had an estimate done to repair the damage and change out the plaques so that more names can be added for continuing years. Total estimate $150.

**Proposal Justification:** We need to maintain the Club HIT trophy.

**Administration:** The trophy Chair will get the trophy repaired.

**Financial Implications:** Total estimate of $150.
Specific Board Action Requested: That the NSDTRC (USA) Officers and Board unanimously approve the $150 expenditure to repair the trophy.

Proposal #4: Discuss Club Traveling Trophies
Submitted by: Kim Simons, President

Proposal Description: The Club/Region/Breeder sponsored national traveling trophies are an honor for our Club members to win at each year’s Specialty. However, for each trophy, it is the responsibility of the winner to arrange for inscription of the dog’s name and to arrange for the trophy to be returned for the next year’s Specialty. If the winner is not coming to the Specialty, this shipping can be 1) expensive, and 2) treacherous, as we’ve had trophies damaged in shipping.

Proposal Justification: To determine a more efficient solution for the recipients of the traveling trophies.

Administration: To be determined.

Financial Implications: To be determined.

Specific Board Action Requested: I’d like the Board to discuss and propose some other options to help our members with this situation, as many members do not want to take the trophies as they don’t want to be responsible for the return. For the Sylvan trophy, the sponsors of that trophy retired the traveling trophy and went to individual plaques to be awarded each year. I’d love some additional suggestions on how we can help our members.

Proposal #5: Discuss Breeder Referral Directory Criteria
Submitted by: Kim Simons, President

Proposal Description: We have had recent questions from the membership about the specific criteria in our Breeder Referral Directory requirements. This proposal is to ask that we as a Board discuss the current criteria and determine if any updates are required to make the information clearer for our members.

Proposal Justification: To determine a clarified list of criteria for our Breeder Referral Directory.

Administration: To be determined.

Financial Implications: To be determined.

Specific Board Action Requested: Please review the current Breeder Referral Directory criteria as listed on the Club website and suggest any clarifications and/or updates.

ADJOURNMENT